

1 **Performance of legume-based annual forage crops in three semiarid Mediterranean**
2 **environments**

3 *P. Annicchiarico^{A,E}, I. Thami Alami^B, K. Abbas^C, L. Pecetti^A, R. A. M. Melis^D and C. Porqueddu^D*

4 ^ACouncil for Agricultural Research and Economics (CREA), Research Centre for Fodder Crops and Dairy
5 Productions, Lodi, Italy.

6 ^BInstitut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Centre Régional de Rabat, Rabat, Morocco.

7 ^CInstitut National de la Recherche Agronomique d'Algerie (INRAA), Agro-system East Division, Sétif,
8 Algeria.

9 ^DNational Research Council (CNR), Institute for the Animal Production System in the Mediterranean
10 Environment, Sassari, Italy.

11 ^ECorresponding author. Email: paolo.annicchiarico@crea.gov.it

12

13 **Abstract.** Legume-based annual forages could be pivotal for the sustainable intensification of forage production in
14 drought-prone Mediterranean cereal-livestock systems. This study aimed to optimise the composition of these crops for
15 three climatically contrasting areas. Four legumes (field pea of semi-dwarf and tall type; Narbon vetch; common vetch)
16 and two cereals (oat; triticale) were grown in three autumn-sown sites (Sassari, Italy; Sétif, Algeria; Marchouch,
17 Morocco) for 2 years as pure stands and legume-cereal binary and four-component mixtures. We assessed dry matter
18 yield, weed content and farmers' acceptability of the crops, and legume content and Land Equivalent Ratio of the
19 mixtures. Legumes' competitive disadvantage ranged from very high in Sétif to nearly nil in Sassari. Pea- and common
20 vetch-based mixtures out-performed Narbon vetch-based ones in terms of yield, legume content and farmers'
21 acceptability. The tall pea, featuring greatest competitive ability against cereals, maximised the yield and legume
22 content of legume-cereal crops. Vetch-cereal mixtures exhibited lower weed content than the average of the
23 components' pure stands. Oat monoculture was top-yielding but modestly appreciated by farmers. Pea provided the
24 only legume monoculture combining good yielding ability and high farmers' appreciation. Greater species diversity as
25 provided by complex mixtures did not display any production advantage over binary mixtures.

26 **Additional keywords:** drought stress, farmer participatory approaches, intercropping, *Pisum sativum*, plant
27 competition, *Vicia*.

28

29 Introduction

30 Crop-livestock systems have outstanding importance in the Mediterranean basin, to meet the growing
31 demand for animal products [particularly in North Africa and West Asia: [Delgado *et al.* \(1999\)](#)], to sustain
32 the production of typical animal products with high added-value (particularly in Southern Europe: e.g. the 46
33 Protected Designation of Origin cheeses in Italy), and to increase the economic stability of smallholders.
34 Extensive livestock systems, which have largely relied upon rangelands, fallow and cereal stubbles, are
35 threatened by overexploitation and risk of desertification of rangelands, insufficiency of feed proteins and
36 high-quality feed, and decreasing and more erratic rainfall predicted from climate change ([IPCC 2007](#); [FAO
2010](#)). Cropping of annual forage crops could be pivotal for these systems, to intensify their forage
37 production in a sustainable manner and to alleviate their pressure on natural resources.
38

39 Well-adapted small-grain cereals, such as oat (*Avena sativa* L.) or triticale (\times *Triticosecale* Wittm.),
40 produce high dry matter (DM) yield, but their forage usually displays unsatisfactory nutritional quality,
41 particularly in terms of protein content. Growing annual legumes, such as common (*Vicia sativa* L.) or
42 Narbon vetch (*V. narbonensis* L.) or field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.), could ensure high forage protein content
43 along with several environmental benefits, such as higher energy and resource efficiency, lower greenhouse
44 gas emissions and greater soil fertility relative to cereal cropping ([Nemecek *et al.* 2008](#); [Cellier *et al.* 2015](#)).
45 Intercropping legumes with cereals is another option whose main appeal is represented by possibly higher
46 yield relative to the mean value of its components' monocultures that arises from resource complementarity
47 between associated species ([Hauggaard-Nielsen *et al.* 2001](#); [Bedoussac and Justes 2010](#); [Chapagain and
48 Riseman 2014](#)). Better control of weeds and of biotic stresses are other potential advantages of mixed
49 cropping relative to monocultures ([Anil *et al.* 1998](#)). Complex mixtures obtained by association of more than
50 two species have shown greater interest than simpler mixtures for grass-forage legume associations ([Kirwan
51 *et al.* 2007](#); [Picasso *et al.* 2011](#); [Brophy *et al.* 2017](#)), but their value is unknown for legume-cereal
52 associations.

53 The exploitation of legume-based annual forages in Mediterranean-climate regions is hindered by
54 insufficient information on the most suitable legume species for cultivation as monoculture or in association
55 with cereals and by limited comparisons of pure stand versus mixed stand cropping. Common vetch is
56 largely grown in Mediterranean environments, often in association with oat (e.g. [Caballero *et al.* 1995](#);
57 [Lithourgidis *et al.* 2006](#)). Advantages of vetch-cereal mixed cropping over the respective monocultures in
58 terms of forage production, total nitrogen (N) content and land-use efficiency were reported for
59 Mediterranean dryland conditions ([Kurdali *et al.* 1996](#)). Narbon vetch and field pea are other legumes
60 reportedly adapted to Mediterranean environments ([Thomson *et al.* 1997](#); [Siddique *et al.* 1999](#);
61 [Annicchiarico and Iannucci 2008](#); [Lithourgidis *et al.* 2011](#)).

62 When ensuring a balanced proportion of their components, legume-cereal mixtures can provide
63 nutritionally balanced forage (e.g. [Chapko *et al.* 1991](#); [Jedel and Helm 1993](#)). But legume species tend to be
64 weaker competitors than cereals and, in the case of pea, frequently showed a marked competitive

65 disadvantage (Corre-Hellou *et al.* 2006; Lithourgidis *et al.* 2011; Neugschwandtner and Kaul 2014; Monti *et al.* 2016). This drawback, which would limit the positive effects of the legume component in the mixture
66 (increased protein content, N fixation, and so on), could be alleviated by legume selection for greater
67 competitive ability *per se* or for traits contributing to it. Pea taller stature, either in material without dwarfing
68 genes or in taller material of the semi-dwarf type, was associated with higher pea competitive ability against
69 cereals (Annicchiarico *et al.* 2012) or weeds (McDonald 2003).

71 The objective of this study was contributing to optimise annual forage crop production in three
72 climatically contrasting, drought-prone regions of the Mediterranean basin. In particular, we aimed at: (i)
73 assessing legume-cereal mixed crops including different legume and cereal species and their respective
74 monocultures for performance in terms of total DM yield, legume content and weed incidence; (ii) verifying
75 in terms of Land Equivalent Ratio [LER; Mead and Willey (1980)] the advantage of mixtures relative to
76 monocultures; (iii) verifying whether greater complexity of legume-cereal mixture (four associated cultivars)
77 may provide an advantage over binary mixtures; and (iv) comparing the overall performance of a semi-dwarf
78 versus a tall pea type. Our study included the farmers' participatory assessment of novel crops and plant
79 types, given its recognised usefulness for ensuring more thorough evaluation of agro-economical aspects and
80 greater end-user acceptance of agricultural techniques (Zandstra *et al.* 1981) and novel germplasm
81 (Ceccarelli *et al.* 2009).

82 **Materials and methods**

83 *Plant material and test environments*

84 The experiment was carried out under rainfed conditions during two cropping years (2013–2014 and
85 2014–2015) in three locations of the western Mediterranean basin, namely, Sassari, Sardinia, Italy (40°45'N,
86 8°25'E, 24 m above sea level, a.s.l.), Sétif, inland Algeria (36°18'N, 5°25'E, 984 m a.s.l.), and Marchouch,
87 Morocco (33°33'N, 6°41'W, 421 m a.s.l.). The main climatic characteristics of the three locations are
88 reported in Table 1.

89 Our study comprised 16 forage crops, namely, four legume and two cereal pure stands, eight legume-
90 cereal binary mixtures, and two complex (four-component) mixtures (Table 2). The legume material
91 included the common vetch cultivar Barril, the Narbon vetch cultivar Bozdag, and the contrasting pea plant
92 types represented by the semi-leafless, semi-dwarf cultivar Kaspá and the semi-leafless, tall line 2/38b/7
93 (bred at CREA). The cereals comprised the oat cultivar Genziana and the triticale cultivar Vivaciò. The
94 complex mixtures included the two cereals with either the two pea types or the two vetch species (Table 2).
95 The pea cultivar Kaspá and the tall pea type were chosen on the basis of their good adaptation to
96 Mediterranean conditions (Annicchiarico and Iannucci 2008) and to mixed cropping (Annicchiarico *et al.*
97 2012), respectively. The two vetch cultivars were selected for adaptation to rainfed Mediterranean conditions
98 (D. Crespo, H. Ozpinar, pers. comm.). The two cereal cultivars were chosen on the basis of yield and
99 morphophysiological data collected in variety trials performed in Mediterranean environments of Italy (e.g.

100 [Perenzin and Notario 2014](#)), and were sufficiently early to enable harvesting at a suitable phenological stage
101 for both the cereals and the legumes in mixtures.

102 The experiments in each location were laid out in a randomised complete block design with four
103 replications. Plots were 4 m long and 3 m wide, and included 12 rows at 0.25-m spacing. The adopted
104 sowing rates were 70 germinating seeds/m² for the two pea types and the Narbon vetch, 140 seeds/m² for the
105 common vetch, and 280 seeds/m² for the cereals. These rates, which were intermediate between those
106 ordinarily adopted for the monocultures of these crops in the three target areas, were halved for binary
107 mixtures, and reduced to one-fourth for complex mixtures, according to a proportional replacement design
108 ([Andersen et al. 2005](#)). The associations were established by sowing together legume and cereal seed in each
109 row. Sowing took place between mid-November and the first week of December following a cereal crop, at a
110 sowing depth of 4 cm. Pre-sowing fertilisation was 45 kg/ha of P₂O₅ to all plots along with 30 kg/ha of N to
111 cereal monocultures and 15 kg/ha of N to the other crops. Cereal monocultures received 30 kg/ha of N, and
112 mixtures 15 kg/ha of N, at the end of winter.

113 *Observed traits*

114 Plots were harvested at 2 cm above ground in a single harvest performed in late spring, when cereals were
115 at late heading/early milky stage and legumes were at waxy stage. The harvest date was around mid-April in
116 Sassari and Marchouch, and in early May in Sétif. Crop DM yield, and legume and weed proportion on a
117 DM basis, were recorded on a 1-m² sampling area in the middle of each plot, by botanical separation of
118 legume, cereal and weed herbage followed by oven drying of each herbage component at 65°C.

119 The LER, which defines the relative land area under pure stand that is required to produce the yield of the
120 component species in mixed stand, was computed for binary mixtures according to [Mead and Willey \(1980\)](#)
121 as:

$$122 \text{LER} = L_M / L_P + C_M / C_P$$

123 where L_M and L_P are legume yields in mixed stand and pure stand, respectively, and C_M and C_P are cereal
124 yields in mixed stand and pure stand, respectively. For complex (four-component) mixtures, where no
125 botanical separation was performed within legume or grass components, L_M and C_M denoted the pooled
126 yields in mixed stand of the two legumes and the two cereals, respectively; whereas L_P and C_P indicated the
127 average yield in pure stand of the two legumes and the two cereals, respectively. For the sake of statistical
128 analysis, LER values of each mixture were computed for each randomised complete block from data of pure
129 stand and mixed stand crops that it included.

130 Shortly before harvest, a group of at least 20 local farmers visited the trials of Sassari and Marchouch each
131 year and gave a synthetic visual appraisal of the potential value of each crop for their own use on the basis of
132 a nine-level score ranging from 1 = very poor to 5 = excellent that encompassed half units as well. Test years
133 included different groups of farmers. For the appraisal, the farmers were subdivided into groups of at least

134 five persons that evaluated by turns each of the experiment replications. Farmer's scores on each plot were
135 averaged before data analysis.

136 *Statistical analysis*

137 An analysis of variance (ANOVA) including the fixed factors 'location' and 'crop' and the random factors
138 'cropping year' and 'block within location and year' was carried out for DM yield free of weeds and weed
139 proportion of all crops (16 crops) in three sites, farmers' score of all crops in two sites, and legume
140 proportion and LER of mixtures (10 crops) in three sites. **Table 3** reports degrees of freedom for these
141 ANOVA models. Also, it reports the expected mean squares (EMS) as obtained by the statement RANDOM
142 of the PROC GLM of the Statistical Analysis Software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA), limitedly to the
143 model including all crops. On the basis of EMS composition, the factors 'crop' and 'location' and the 'crop ×
144 location' interaction were tested by *F*-test against their respective interaction with the random factor 'year'.
145 The pooled error acted as the error term for 'crop × location × year' interaction, which, in turn, acted as the
146 error term for 'crop × year' and 'crop × location' interactions. Finally, the 'year' factor was always tested
147 using 'location × year' interaction as the error term, as 'crop × year' interaction (also present in its EMS:
148 **Table 3**) never achieved significance. We verified that the *F*-test results obtained by using these error terms
149 coincided with those obtained by the option TEST within the statement RANDOM of the PROC GLM. For crop
150 DM yield, weed proportion and farmers' score, the 15 ANOVA degrees of freedom relative to variation
151 among crops were partitioned through the statement CONTRAST of the PROC GLM into as many contrasts
152 aimed to test specific hypotheses: (i) each legume species versus the average of cereal crops, for pure stands
153 (three contrasts); (ii) the average of legume and cereal pure stands versus the respective binary mixtures, for
154 each legume species (three contrasts); (iii) paired comparisons between pea-based, common vetch-based and
155 Narbon vetch-based binary mixtures (three contrasts); (iv) oat-based versus triticale-based binary mixtures
156 (one contrast); (v) pea-based versus vetch-based complex mixtures (one contrast); (vi) binary versus complex
157 mixtures including either pea or vetches (two contrasts); and (vii) semi-dwarf versus tall pea type, either in
158 pure stand or in mixture (two contrasts). Contrasts not involving pure stand crops were also performed for
159 legume proportion and LER. We assessed these contrasts over locations, given their general interest for
160 Mediterranean environments. However, we assessed the value of the individual crops in the single sites, for
161 traits that displayed significant 'crop × location' interaction. Crops within sites were compared using the
162 within-site 'crop × year' interaction error term in case this term displayed heterogeneity between sites
163 according to Fisher's bilateral *F*-test at $P < 0.01$, and the global 'crop × year' interaction in case the
164 individual error terms failed to display heterogeneity. In a further ANOVA, pure stands of the four legumes
165 and their relevant binary mixtures (terming as 'crop type' either the pure stand or the binary mixture of the
166 legumes) were included in addition to location, year and block, assessing the 'legume × crop type'
167 interaction on the error term represented by the 'legume × crop type × year' interaction. Likewise, 'grass ×
168 crop type' interaction was tested by a final ANOVA including a 'crop type' factor whose variants were the
169 pure stand and mixed stand cropping of the two grass species. All statistical analyses were carried out using
170 the Statistical Analysis Software.

171 **Results**

172 *Climatic characteristics and production responses of the sites*

173 As expected from their ordinary climatic features, Sassari received higher rainfall over the cropping cycle
174 than Marchouch and Sétif, whereas the two North African locations were the most contrasting sites in terms
175 of autumn, winter and spring temperatures, (which were much higher in the Moroccan site) (Table 1).
176 Compared with long-term climatic data, test years were characterised by lower rainfall over the crop cycle in
177 both North African sites, and warmer spring temperatures in Sassari and Sétif (Table 1). Frost events in the
178 test years were numerous in the high-elevation site of Sétif (whose minimum absolute temperature attained –
179 4.8°C in the first cropping year and –6.7°C in the second), fairly rare in Sassari, and nearly absent in
180 Marchouch. Marchouch displayed mean crop yield (free of weeds) comparable with that in Sassari despite its
181 lower rainfall (Table 1), owing to much lower incidence of weeds and better ability of the crops, in the
182 presence of milder temperatures in winter and early spring, to exploit the water available (Table 1). The
183 harsh climatic conditions of Sétif contributed to its lower mean crop yield relative to the other sites (Table 1).
184 In addition, this site exhibited much lower mean legume content of the mixtures than the other locations,
185 associated with mean LER value below one (indicating somewhat negative complementarity of the
186 associated species relative to their response in pure stand). On average, the mixed stand/pure stand yield
187 ratios for cereals and legumes (the terms summed up in LER computation) in this site were 0.71 and 0.19,
188 respectively, confirming the marked competitive advantage of cereals over legumes. These ratios were
189 slightly above or ~0.5 in Sassari and Marchouch, (which were characterised by nearly nil and moderate
190 legumes' competitive disadvantage, respectively), resulting in mean LER values of 1.10 and 1.02,
191 respectively. Differences between locations achieved statistical significance only for legume proportion,
192 owing to significance of 'location × year' acting as the interaction error term and/or the paucity of factorial
193 and error term degrees of freedom (Table 3). Likewise, no significant difference emerged between years
194 (Table 3).

195 *Production traits and weed content of the crops*

196 The variation among crops over sites was significant ($P < 0.01$) for crop DM yield, weed proportion on
197 total DM, and legume proportion on mixture DM (Table 3). In contrast, mixed-stand crops did not differ for
198 LER value ($P > 0.05$). Significant ($P < 0.05$) 'crop × location' interaction occurred for weed proportion and
199 legume proportion (Table 3). 'Crop × year' interaction over locations never achieved statistical significance,
200 whereas 'crop × location × year' interaction, (which acted as error term for 'crop × year' interaction) was
201 significant for all traits except LER (Table 3).

202 Crop mean values for production traits are given in each site for variables subjected to 'crop × location'
203 interaction, and crop values averaged over sites for the other variables (Table 4). The oat monoculture was
204 the top-ranking crop for DM yield over sites, but did not differ significantly ($P < 0.05$) from its binary
205 mixtures with the two pea types or with common vetch, the tall pea type (P2) in pure stand and in mixture
206 with triticale, and the triticale monoculture (Table 4). Low crop yield over sites was displayed by Narbon

207 vetch in pure stand or binary mixtures, and by common vetch in pure stand or in association with triticale.
208 ANOVA linear contrasts reported in Table 5 highlighted the yield superiority ($P < 0.05$) of pea-based or
209 common vetch-based binary mixtures over Narbon vetch-based ones (contrasts 8 and 9), that of oat-based
210 mixtures over triticale-based ones (contrast 11), and the yield advantage of cereal pure stands over pure
211 stands of either vetch species (contrasts 2 and 3). Also, they revealed a trend towards greater crop yield of
212 mixtures with the tall pea relative to those with the semi-dwarf pea (+11%, $P < 0.10$; contrast 15). Pea pure
213 stands did not differ significantly from cereal pure stands for yielding ability (contrast 1).

214 Both vetch species in Sétif, and Narbon vetch in Sassari, exhibited high proportion of weeds on DM when
215 grown in monoculture (Table 4). No crop difference for weed proportion emerged in Marchouch,
216 characterised by very low weed amounts. ANOVA linear contrasts in Table 5 relative to crop mean
217 responses across locations indicated that cereal pure stands controlled weeds better than pure stands of either
218 vetch species ($P < 0.05$; contrasts 2 and 3) or pea ($P < 0.10$; contrast 1). Also, oat-based mixtures tended to
219 control weeds better than triticale-based ones (contrast 11). Finally, vetch-cereal binary mixtures displayed
220 lower weed proportion than the average value of the components' monocultures (contrast 3), thereby
221 highlighting an intrinsic advantage of mixed cropping in this respect.

222 In Sétif, where the average legume proportion was very low, the only mixed crops that achieved legume
223 proportions between 15% and 20% were the binary or complex mixtures including the tall pea (Table 4).
224 These mixtures, along with the common vetch-triticale binary mixture, were the only ones capable of
225 ensuring legume proportion above 40% in Sassari and Marchouch. The species ranking pea > common vetch
226 > Narbon vetch for mean legume proportion in mixtures over locations implied significant differences
227 between the three species ($P < 0.05$) according to ANOVA contrasts (contrasts 7, 8 and 9 in Table 5). Also,
228 ANOVA contrasts indicated that triticale-based mixtures had higher legume content (albeit being lower
229 yielding) than oat-based ones (contrast 11 in Table 5), and confirmed the superiority of the tall pea over the
230 semi-dwarf one for legume content of its mixtures ($P < 0.001$; contrast 15).

231 No differences among crops emerged for mean LER value across locations (Table 4), possibly because of
232 high experiment error for this variable (average error CV = 24.5%). The tall and the semi-dwarf pea types
233 exhibited one of the highest (1.05) and lowest (0.91) LER values, respectively, in association with the more
234 vigorous cereal companion, i.e. oat. The mixture Narbon vetch-triticale was top-ranking for LER value
235 (1.07), but also low yielding (Table 4). No ANOVA contrast for LER reached significance (Table 5). In
236 Sétif, characterised by low LER values, the only binary mixtures that displayed LER >1 were those including
237 the tall pea (data not shown).

238 The 'legume × crop type' interaction for the four legume cultivars across pure stand and mixed stand crop
239 types showed a trend towards significance ($P < 0.10$) which, because of its practical importance, is
240 graphically shown by mean legume DM yields of the four cultivars in the two cropping conditions in Fig. 1.
241 The yield values for mixed stands were doubled, to report them to the same surface unit as pure stands (as
242 the seeding rate in mixtures was half that in pure stands). Common vetch was the only legume featuring an

243 almost perfect yield correspondence between the two conditions. In contrast, the semi-dwarf pea type
244 suffered of a marked yield disadvantage in mixed stand relative to pure stand (−25% legume yield). Fig. 1
245 reports as a reference also the mean cereal DM yield in pure and mixed of the two cereal cultivars. The oat
246 cultivar displayed a distinct increase of DM yield in mixed stand relative to pure stand and the triticale
247 cultivar just a slight increase in mixed stand, but the ‘cereal × crop type’ interaction did not achieve
248 significance ($P > 0.10$).

249 *Farmers’ acceptability score of the crops*

250 Crops differed for farmers’ score over locations, but appreciation scores were subjected to ‘crop ×
251 location’ interaction ($P < 0.01$; Table 3). Farmers in Sassari tended to express greater appreciation for
252 common vetch-based crops, whereas those in Marchouch tended to prefer pea-based crops (Table 6). Both
253 farmer groups, however, attributed moderate to low interest to cereal monocultures and Narbon vetch-based
254 crops. ANOVA contrasts in Table 5 relative to crop values over sites confirmed the marked farmers’
255 preference for pea-based or common vetch-based mixtures over Narbon vetch-based ones ($P < 0.001$;
256 contrasts 8 and 9), and highlighted that pea-based or common vetch-based mixtures were significantly more
257 appreciated than the mean value of the respective monocultures of their components (contrasts 4 and 5). In
258 addition, the ANOVA contrasts revealed greater appreciation by farmers for oat-based over triticale-based
259 mixtures (contrast 11), and confirmed the farmers’ preference for pea over cereals as a pure stand crop
260 (contrast 1) (with a preference, in this context, for the semi-dwarf pea over the tall type: contrast 14). Finally,
261 complex mixtures were significantly more appreciated than the average of the relevant binary mixtures in the
262 case of vetch species (contrast 13), whereas the opposite held true for the complex mixture including the
263 contrasting pea types (contrast 12).

264 **Discussion**

265 The three test locations represented well the diversity of rainfall and temperature patterns that features the
266 semiarid areas of the Western Mediterranean basin. Lower rainfall and/or higher spring temperatures that
267 occurred in the test years relative to long-term data are consistent with the predicted effects of climate
268 change in the region (IPCC 2007; Alessandri *et al.* 2014), and add interest to our results in the perspective of
269 future climate scenarios.

270 The harsh climatic conditions of Sétif determined not only low crop yield but also very low legume
271 content of mixtures and the difficulty for mixed stands to achieve LER values even just around unity. The
272 marked competitive disadvantage exhibited by legumes in this cold-prone site may partly be due to less
273 favourable temperatures for legume growth than cereal growth during winter and early spring, as cool-season
274 grain legumes have higher base temperature for vegetative growth than cereals [e.g. 3–6°C vs −4–2°C for
275 leaf appearance: Sadras and Dreccer (2015)]. Low temperatures soon after sowing should not be detrimental
276 to legume establishment and competitive ability in very early crop stages, however, given the somewhat
277 lower optimal temperatures for germination of these species relative to winter cereals (Odabaş and Mut
278 2007).

279 The occurrence of ‘crop × location’ interaction was expected as a consequence of the climatic variation
280 between test sites. Nevertheless, the relative value of each crop was consistent across locations for yielding
281 ability (displaying no ‘crop × location’ interaction), and usually did not vary markedly for legume or weed
282 proportions on DM. One important reason contributing to modest or non-significant ‘crop × location’
283 interaction was its inconsistency across years as indicated by significant ‘crop × location × year’ interaction,
284 (which acted as its error term). Crop performances were more site-specific in terms of farmers’ appreciation
285 score in the two sites subjected to the participatory assessment, suggesting that other factors besides crop
286 production or contents of legume and weed (albeit appreciated visually by farmers) may influence farmers’
287 perception of the value of each crop. Even farmers’ responses, however, agreed across locations in various
288 respects (e.g. the poor value of Narbon vetch-based crops, and the only modest interest of cereal
289 monocultures).

290 Our results confirmed the high forage yielding ability of oat monoculture in Mediterranean environments
291 that emerged in earlier studies (Moreira 1989; Caballero *et al.* 1995; Lithourgidis *et al.* 2006). However,
292 binary mixtures of oat with the tall pea type or common vetch yielded comparably well across the three
293 locations, while allowing for savings in N fertilisation and providing forage that is expected to be
294 qualitatively enhanced by the presence of legumes. Farmers were aware of the lower quality of cereal pure
295 stands relative to legume-cereal associations, as reflected by lower appreciation scores attributed to cereal
296 monocultures relative to best-performing legume-cereal mixtures and by comments recorded during their
297 visits. On the whole, production traits and farmers’ appreciation scores encourage the cultivation of legume-
298 cereal mixtures and, in the case of pea, even legume monocultures. This conclusion holds true even though
299 the yield advantage of mixed cropping over the mean yield of its components’ pure stands was fairly modest
300 even for best legume-cereal combinations, for example, average LER of 1.05 for the tall pea-oat mixture.
301 However, LER values ~1.05 are frequent in N-fertilised pea-based mixtures (while tending to be higher in
302 the absence of N fertilisation) (Hauggaard-Nielsen and Jensen 2001), whereas LER values below unity have
303 already been reported for specific legume-cereal mixtures in Mediterranean environments (Monti *et al.*
304 2016). The occurrence of highest and lowest mean values of LER in Sassari and Sétif (1.10 vs 0.90), which
305 were the most contrasting sites for mean legume content of the mixtures (~49% vs 10%), is consistent with
306 the expected advantage of more balanced mixture composition for the occurrence of species
307 complementarity effects related to N dynamics, growth pattern or light utilisation (Bedoussac and Justes
308 2010). In general, the yield efficiency of a mixture is mainly determined by the performance of its weaker
309 partner (Harper 1977).

310 On average, pea-based and common vetch-based mixtures out-performed Narbon vetch-based ones in
311 terms of DM yield production, legume content, and farmers’ appreciation. Pea-based mixtures, relative to
312 common vetch-based ones, exhibited higher legume content over locations. This proved particularly
313 important in the case of the tall pea in the least favourable site for legume growth (Sétif), in order to attain an
314 acceptable legume proportion (on average, 17.5% for the tall pea vs 5.4% for common vetch: Table 4) and
315 LER >1. These findings, and the good response of pea even as a pure stand crop, indicate that pea has much

316 greater potential as a forage crop for Mediterranean-climate environments than hitherto believed. The
317 breeding of annual forage legumes for West Asia and North Africa has essentially focussed on vetch and
318 chickling (*Lathyrus* spp.) species (Ates *et al.* 2014). The current interest of pea as a forage crop has profited
319 of significant breeding progress achieved on this species (albeit targeted mainly to the grain crop), for
320 example, the exploitation of the semi-leafless trait to improve the standing ability of semi-dwarf or tall
321 material. Actually, the semi-dwarf type exhibited visually greater standing ability than the tall type, which
322 may have contributed to the preference granted by farmers' to this pea type for a pure stand crop. The tall
323 pea was clearly preferable to the semi-dwarf one for mixed cropping, though, because of greater competitive
324 ability against cereal companions implied by greater legume proportion in its mixtures and the trend towards
325 higher yield of its mixtures.

326 Compared with triticale-based mixtures, oat-based ones were higher yielding and more appreciated by
327 farmers (who possibly valued visually their better yielding ability), but exhibited lower legume content.
328 Likewise, Lithourgidis *et al.* (2006) reported higher crop yield for common vetch in mixture with oat than
329 with triticale. Our yield and legume content results for these cereal companions agree with those for pea-
330 cereal mixtures reported by Jedel and Helm (1993), who assessed as well the protein content of the mixtures
331 and confirmed for triticale-based ones the higher protein content expected from their higher legume content.
332 A trade-off between high crop yield and high legume content is well established for N-fertilised white
333 clover-grass mixtures (Harris 1987; Annicchiarico and Piano 1994), whose competition dynamics has been
334 studied extensively in order to achieve sufficient legume content in mown forage crops. Crop yield of those
335 mixtures was maximised by associating a highly vigorous grass cultivar (where vigour is reflected by high
336 yield in pure stand) with a highly competing white clover cultivar, because of the positive relationship of
337 grass vigour with mixture yield on the one hand and grass competitive ability on the other. Likewise, in the
338 current study the top-yielding mixture included oat (intrinsically more vigorous than triticale on the basis of
339 pure stand yield) and the tall pea type, whose outstanding competitive ability against cereals emerged fully in
340 the unfavourable cropping site of Sétif. Crop yield maximisation by the mixture including a vigorous cereal
341 and a highly-competing legume companion may arise from better N status for cereal plants allowed for by
342 somewhat lower intra-species competition for soil inorganic N and greater N transfer from the legume
343 (Jensen 1996; Chapagain and Riseman 2014), as well as from greater opportunity for other complementarity
344 effects.

345 Taller stature, resulting in better ability to compete for light, is a key trait for greater competitive ability of
346 crops, as confirmed by earlier studies on pea competitive ability against associated cereals (Hauggaard-
347 Nielsen and Jensen 2001; Annicchiarico *et al.* 2012) or competitive ability against weeds by pea (McDonald
348 2003; Annicchiarico and Filippi 2007) and cereals (Lemerle *et al.* 2001). In legumes, shading by cereal
349 companions affects also the ability to fix atmospheric N, which is essential for plant survival because of the
350 greater ability by cereals to compete for soil N (Jensen 1996; Corre-Hellou *et al.* 2006). A semi-dwarf pea
351 was preferable to a tall pea only in one study of pea-barley mixtures that showed the infrequent situation of
352 barley at competitive disadvantage against pea (Hauggaard-Nielsen and Jensen 2001). On the whole, our

353 results confirm also for annual legumes the importance of selecting and growing highly competitive legume
354 companions, particularly for target conditions implying a marked competitive disadvantage for the legume.
355 For a poorly competing legume such as white clover, selection under high competitive stress allowed to
356 reach an acceptable clover content even in associations with extremely vigorous grass companions
357 (Annicchiarico and Proietti 2010).

358 Intercropping has repeatedly proved to reduce weed density and biomass (Liebman and Dyck 1993). We
359 found better weed control by mixed stand than by the average of the components' pure stands for vetch
360 species, in agreement with results by Mariotti *et al.* (2006) in central Italy under organic conditions.

361 Greater species diversity as represented by the complex mixtures provided no significant increase in crop
362 yield or legume content relative to the mean performance of the relevant binary mixtures. Likewise, Carita *et*
363 *al.* (2016) reported no advantage of three-species mixtures over two-species ones for annual legume-cereal
364 crops in Portugal. Farmers granted a slight preference to the complex mixture including both vetch species
365 with the two cereals relative to the average of the relevant binary mixtures, but their appreciation of the
366 complex mixture remained somewhat lower than that of the best vetch-based binary mixture, namely,
367 common vetch-oat (Table 6). Our results contrast with the yield advantage provided by greater species
368 diversity in perennial forages evaluated as monocultures and mixtures of varying complexity (Kirwan *et al.*
369 2007; Picasso *et al.* 2011; Brophy *et al.* 2017). However, the longer cycle of perennials is expected to
370 provide greater opportunities for the display of complementarity effects associated with greater mixture
371 diversity. Indeed, a recent 3-year comparison of binary versus complex mixtures of legume-based annuals
372 and perennials in Morocco has revealed distinctly greater advantage from greater species diversity (e.g. in
373 terms of LER value) in perennials than in annuals (Annicchiarico *et al.* 2017). Actually, the longer cycle of
374 perennials allows as well to achieve higher LER values than annuals for binary mixtures, as shown by
375 comparisons in Schipanski and Drinkwater (2012) and Annicchiarico *et al.* (2017), partly because of
376 distinctly greater N transfer from legume to non-legume companions (Ehrmann and Ritz 2014).

377 Our results are likely to be of wide interest for semiarid Mediterranean environments, when considering
378 that they were generated from three climatically contrasting locations, were characterised by fairly high
379 consistency of crop value for production traits across sites, and included a farmer participatory assessment
380 (which is expected to ensure greater end-user acceptance). They encourage the cultivation of legume-cereal
381 mixtures, and support the adoption of a semi-leafless, tall pea type or, to a lesser extent, common vetch or a
382 semi-dwarf pea, as a legume companion. Also, they indicated some advantage of oat over triticale as a cereal
383 companion. Our findings have important implications also for the choice of target species that ought to be
384 prioritised by regional breeding efforts.

385 **Conflicts of interest**

386 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

387 **Acknowledgements**

388 We are grateful to the seed companies and research institutions that provided seed of the evaluated cultivars, as well as
389 to D. Dettori, A. Mebarkia, S. Proietti and A. Souihka for their valuable technical assistance. The research was funded
390 by MIPAAF (Italy), DGRSDT (Algeria) and MENESFCRS (Morocco), and was carried out in the framework of the
391 EraNet-ARIMNet project ‘Resilient, water- and energy-efficient forage and feed crops for Mediterranean agricultural
392 systems (REFORMA)’.

393 **References**

- 394 Alessandri A, De Felice M, Zeng N, Mariotti A, Pan Y, Cherchi A, Lee J-Y, Wang B, Ha K-J, Ruti P, Artale V (2014)
395 Robust assessment of the expansion and retreat of Mediterranean climate in the 21st century. *Scientific Reports* **4**,
396 7211
- 397 Andersen MK, Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Ambus P, Jensen ES (2005) Biomass production, symbiotic nitrogen fixation and
398 inorganic N use in dual and tri-component annual intercrops. *Plant and Soil* **266**, 273–287
- 399 Anil L, Park RHP, Miller FA (1998) Temperate intercropping of cereals for forage: a review of the potential for growth
400 and utilization with particular reference to the UK. *Grass and Forage Science* **53**, 301–317
- 401 Annicchiarico P, Filippi L (2007) A field pea ideotype for organic systems of northern Italy. *Journal of Crop*
402 *Improvement* **20**, 193–203
- 403 Annicchiarico P, Iannucci A (2008) Adaptation strategy, germplasm type and adaptive traits for field pea improvement
404 in Italy based on variety responses across climatically contrasting environments. *Field Crops Research* **108**, 133–
405 142
- 406 Annicchiarico P, Piano E (1994) Interference effects in white clover genotypes grown as pure stands and binary
407 mixtures with different grass species and varieties. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **88**, 153-158
- 408 Annicchiarico P, Proietti S (2010) White clover selected for competitive ability widens the compatibility with grasses
409 and favours the optimization of legume content and forage yield in mown clover-grass mixtures. *Grass and*
410 *Forage Science* **65**, 318-324.
- Annicchiarico P, Ruda P, Sulas C, Pitzalis M, Salis M, Romani M, Carroni AM (2012) Optimal plant type of pea for
mixed cropping with cereals. In ‘Breeding strategies for sustainable forage and turf grass improvement’. (Eds S
Barth, D Milbourne), pp. 341–346. (Springer Science: Dordrecht, The Netherlands)
- 411 Annicchiarico P, Thami Alami I, Souihka A, Pecetti L (2017) Breeding, design and assessment of annual and perennial
412 legume-based forage crops. *Grassland Science in Europe* **22**, 292-295.
- 413 Ates S, Feindel D, El-Moneim A, Ryan J (2014) Annual forage legumes in dryland agricultural systems of the West
414 Asia and North Africa Regions: research achievements and future perspective. *Grass and Forage Science* **69**, 17-
415 31
- 416 Bedoussac L, Justes E (2010) Dynamic analysis of competition and complementarity for light and N use to understand
417 the yield and the protein content of a durum wheat-winter pea intercrop. *Plant and Soil* **330**, 37-54
- 418 Brophy C, Finn JA, Lüscher A, Suter M, Kirwan L, Sebastià M-T, Helgadóttir Á, Baadshaug OH, Bélanger G, Black A,
419 Collins RP, Čop J, Dalmannsdottir S, Delgado I, Elgersma A, Fothergill M, Frankow-Lindberg BE, Ghesquiere A,
420 Golinska B, Golinski P, Grieu P, Gustavsson A-M, Höglind M, Huguenin-Elie O, Jørgensen M, Kadziuliene Z,
421 Kurki P, Llorba R, Lunnan T, Porqueddu C, Thumm U, Connolly J (2017) Major shifts in species’ relative
422 abundance in grassland mixtures alongside positive effects of species diversity in yield: a continental-scale
423 experiment. *Journal of Ecology* (in press).

- 424 Caballero R, Goicoechea EL, Hernaiz PJ (1995) Forage yields and quality of common vetch and oat sown at varying
425 seeding ratios and seeding rates of vetch. *Field Crops Research* **41**, 135–140
- 426 Carita T, Simões N, Carneiro JP, Moreira J, Bagulho AS (2016) Forage yield and quality of simple and complex grass-
427 legumes mixtures under Mediterranean conditions. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture* **28**, 501-505
- 428 Ceccarelli S, Guimarães EP, Weltzien E (2009) 'Plant breeding and farmer participation.' (FAO, Rome)
- 429 Cellier P, Schneider A, Thiébeau P, Vertès F (2015) Impacts environnementaux de l'introduction de légumineuses dans
430 les systèmes de production. In 'Les légumineuses pour des systèmes agricoles et alimentaires durables'. (Eds A
431 Schneider, C Huyghe), pp. 297-338. (Editions Quae, Versailles, France)
- 432 Chapagain T, Riseman A (2014) Barley-pea intercropping: effects on land productivity, carbon and nitrogen
433 transformations. *Field Crops Research* **166**, 18-25
- 434 Chapko LB, Brinkman MA, Albrecht KA (1991) Oat, oat-pea, barley, and barley-pea for forage yield, forage quality,
435 and alfalfa establishment. *Journal of Production Agriculture* **4**, 486–491
- 436 Corre-Hellou G, Fustec J, Crozat Y (2006) Interspecific competition for soil N and its interaction with N₂ fixation, leaf
437 expansion and crop growth in pea-barley intercrops. *Plant and Soil* **282**, 195-208
- 438 Delgado C, Rosegrant M, Steinfeld H, Ehui S, Courbois C (1999) 'Livestock to 2020: the next food revolution.' (IFPRI:
439 Washington)
- 440 Ehrmann J, Ritz K (2014) Plant: soil interactions in temperate multi-cropping production systems. *Plant and Soil* **376**,
441 1-29
- 442 FAO (2010) 'The state of food and agriculture. Livestock in the balance.' (FAO: Rome)
- 443 Harper JL (1977) 'Population biology of plants.' (Academic Press: London)
- 444 Harris W (1987) Population dynamics and competition. In 'White clover'. (Eds MJ Baker, WM Williams), pp. 203-297.
445 (CAB International: Wallingford, UK)
- 446 Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Jensen ES (2001) Evaluating pea and barley cultivars for complementarity in intercropping at
447 different levels of soil N availability. *Field Crops Research* **72**, 185–196
- 448 Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Ambus P, Jensen ES (2001) Interspecific competition, N use and interference with weeds in pea-
449 barley intercropping. *Field Crops Research* **70**, 101–109
- 450 IPCC (2007) 'Climate change 2007: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working group II to the
451 fourth Assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.' (Cambridge University Press:
452 Cambridge, UK)
- 453 Jedel PE, Helm JH (1993) Forage potential of pulse-cereal mixtures in central Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Plant*
454 *Science* **73**, 437–444
- 455 Jensen ES (1996) Barley uptake of N deposited in the rhizosphere of associated field pea. *Soil Biology and*
456 *Biochemistry* **28**, 159-168
- 457 Kirwan L, Lüscher A, Sebastià MT, Finn JA, Collins RP, Porqueddu C, Helgadottir A, Baadshaug OH, Brophy C,
458 Coran C, Dalmannsdóttir S, Delgado I, Elgersma A, Fothergill M, Frankow-Lindberg BE, Golinski P, Grieu P,
459 Gustavsson AM, Höglind M, Huguenin-Elie O, Iliadis C, Jørgensen M, Kadziulienė Z, Karyotis T, Lunnan T,
460 Malengier M, Maltoni S, Meyer V, Nyfeler D, Nykanen-Kurki P, Parente J, Smit HJ, Thumm U, Connolly J
461 (2007) Evenness drives consistent diversity effects in intensive grassland systems across 28 European sites.
462 *Journal of Ecology* **95**, 530–539
- 463 Kurdali F, Sharabi NE, Arslan A (1996) Rainfed vetch-barley mixed cropping in the Syrian semi-arid conditions: I.
464 Nitrogen nutrition using ¹⁵N isotopic dilution. *Plant and Soil* **183**, 137–148.

- 465 Lemerle D, Gill GS, Murphy CE, Walker SR, Cousens RD, Mokhtari S, Peltzer SJ, Coleman R, Luckett DJ (2001)
466 Genetic improvement and agronomy for enhanced wheat competitiveness with weeds. *Australian Journal of*
467 *Agricultural Research* **52**, 527-548
- 468 Liebman M, Dyck E (1993) Crop rotation and intercropping strategies for weed management. *Ecological Applications*
469 **3**, 92–122
- 470 Lithourgidis AS, Vasilakoglu IB, Dhima KV, Dordas CA, Yiakoulaki MD (2006) Forage yield and quality of common
471 vetch mixtures with oat and triticale in two seeding ratios. *Field Crops Research* **99**, 106–113
- 472 Lithourgidis AS, Vlachostergios DN, Dordas CA, Damalas CA (2011) Dry matter yield, nitrogen content, and
473 competition in pea-cereal intercropping systems. *European Journal of Agronomy* **34**, 287–294
- 474 Mariotti M, Masoni A, Ercoli L, Arduini I (2006) Forage potential of winter cereal/legume intercrops in organic
475 farming. *Italian Journal of Agronomy* **3**, 403–412
- 476 McDonald GK (2003) Competitiveness against grass weeds in field pea genotypes. *Weed Research* **43**, 48-58
- 477 Mead R, Willey RW (1980) The concept of a land equivalent ratio and advantages in yields for intercropping.
478 *Experimental Agriculture* **16**, 217–228
- 479 Monti, M, Pellicanò A, Santonoceto C, Preiti G, Pristeri A (2016) Yield components and nitrogen use in cereal-pea
480 intercrops in Mediterranean environment. *Field Crops Research* **196**, 379-388
- 481 Moreira N (1989) The effect of seed rate and nitrogen fertilizer on the yield and nutritive value of oat-vetch mixtures.
482 *Journal of Agricultural Science* **112**, 57–66
- 483 Nemecek T, Von Richthofen J-S, Dubois G, Casta P, Charles R, Pahl H (2008) Environmental impact of introducing
484 grain legumes into European crop rotations. *European Journal of Agronomy* **28**, 380–93
- 485 Neugschwandtner RW, Kaul H-P (2014) Sowing ratio and N fertilization affect yield and yield components of oat and
486 pea in intercrops. *Field Crops Research* **179**, 113-119
- 487 Odabaş MS, Mut Z (2007) Modeling the effect of temperature on percentage and duration of seed germination in grain
488 legumes and cereals. *American Journal of Plant Physiology* **2**, 1557-4539
- 489 Perenzin M, Notario T (2014) Le varietà di avena per le semine 2014. *L'Informatore Agrario* **70** (33), 55-58
- 490 Picasso VD, Brummer EC, Liebman M, Dixon PM (2011) Diverse perennial crop mixtures sustain higher productivity
491 over time based on ecological complementarity. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems* **26**, 317–327
- 492 Sadras V, Dreccer MF (2015) Adaptation of wheat, barley, canola, field pea and chickpea to the thermal environments
493 of Australia. *Crop and Pasture Science* **66**, 1137-1150
- 494 Schipanski ME, Drinkwater LE (2012) Nitrogen fixation in annual and perennial legume-grass mixtures across a
495 fertility gradient. *Plant and Soil* **357**, 147-159
- 496 Siddique KHM, Loss SP, Regan KL, Jettner RL (1999) Adaptation and seed yield of cool season grain legumes in
497 Mediterranean environments of south-western Australia. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **50**, 375–388
- 498 Thomson BD, Siddique KHM, Barr MD, Wilson JM (1997) Grain legume species in low rainfall Mediterranean-type
499 environments. I. Phenology and seed yield. *Field Crops Research* **54**, 173–187
- 500 Zandstra HG, Price EC, Litsinger JA, Morris RA (1981) 'A methodology for on-farm cropping system research.' (IRRI,
501 Manila, Philippines)

504
505
506
507
508

Table 1. Rainfall and temperature variables across two cropping years and the long-term (30 years), and mean values of crop dry matter (DM) yield, weed proportion on total aboveground DM, legume proportion on legume-cereal DM and Land Equivalent Ratio averaged across 16 evaluated forage crops, for three Mediterranean-climate locations

Variable	Sassari, Italy	Sétif, Algeria	Marchouch, Morocco
Rainfall November–February, test years (mm)	334	159	177
Rainfall November–February, long-term (mm)	254	199	244
Rainfall March–April, test years (mm)	102	75	48
Rainfall March–April, long-term (mm)	94	99	94
Average daily mean temp. November–February, test years (°C)	10.5	7.0	12.6
Average daily mean temp. November–February, long-term (°C)	11.0	7.4	13.0
Average daily mean temp. March–April, test years (°C)	12.0	10.8	16.0
Average daily mean temp. March–April, long-term (°C)	12.3	11.1	15.2
Average daily max. temp. March–April, test years (°C)	18.5	17.2	20.7
Average daily max. temp. March–April, long-term (°C)	16.9	15.8	20.8
Average dry-matter yield of all crops, test years (t/ha)	5.55	3.87	6.44
Average weed proportion of all crops, test years	0.140	0.123	0.021
Average legume proportion of mixtures, test years	0.490	0.097	0.376
Average Land Equivalent Ratio of mixtures, test years	1.10	0.90	1.02

509
510
511

Table 2. Acronym and composition of 16 forage crops evaluated in three Mediterranean-climate locations

Crop acronym	Composition
P1	Semi-dwarf pea (semi-leafless; cv. Kasper)
P2	Tall pea (semi-leafless; line 2/38b/7)
N	Narbon vetch (cv. Bozdog)
V	Common vetch (cv. Barril)
O	Oat (cv. Genziana)
T	Triticale (cv. Vivaciò)
P1-O	Semi-dwarf pea – Oat
P1-T	Semi-dwarf pea – Triticale
P2-O	Tall pea – Oat
P2-T	Tall pea – Triticale
N-O	Narbon vetch – Oat
N-T	Narbon vetch – Triticale
V-O	Common vetch – Oat
V-T	Common vetch – Triticale
N-V-O-T	Narbon vetch – Common vetch – Oat – Triticale
P1-P2-O-T	Semi-dwarf pea – Tall pea – Oat – Triticale

Table 3. Analysis of variance degrees of freedom (d.f.), expected mean squares and *F*-test results for crop dry matter (DM) yield and weed proportion on total aboveground DM assessed on pure stand (PS) and mixed stand (MS) crops in three locations; DF and *F* test results for visual appraisal score attributed by local farmers to PS and MS crops in three locations; and DF and *F* test results for legume proportion on legume-cereal DM and Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) assessed on PS crops in three locations

Acronyms for sources of variation are: C, crop (fixed factor); L, location (fixed factor); Y, year (random factor); B, block; E, experimental error. **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01; n.s., not significant

Source of variation	d.f.	σ_E^2	σ_{CLY}^2	Expected mean squares							<i>F</i> -test		d.f.	<i>F</i> -test		d.f.	<i>F</i> -test	
				σ_{LY}^2	σ_{CY}^2	CL _{ij}	$\sigma_{B(LY)}^2$	σ_Y^2	L _j	C _i	Crop DM	Weed prop.		Farmers' score	Legume prop.		LER	
C	15	1	4	–	12	–	–	–	–	–	–	**	**	15	**	9	**	n.s.
L	2	1	4	64	–	–	16	–	–	+	–	n.s.	n.s.	1	n.s.	2	*	n.s.
Y	1	1	4	64	12	–	16	192	–	–	–	n.s.	n.s.	1	n.s.	1	n.s.	n.s.
B (L Y)	18	1	–	–	–	–	16	–	–	–	–	–	–	12	–	18	–	–
C × L	30	1	4	–	–	+	–	–	–	–	–	n.s.	*	15	**	18	*	n.s.
C × Y	15	1	4	–	12	–	–	–	–	–	–	n.s.	n.s.	15	n.s.	9	n.s.	n.s.
L × Y	2	1	4	64	–	–	16	–	–	–	–	**	**	1	n.s.	2	**	**
C × L × Y	30	1	4	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	**	**	15	**	18	**	n.s.
Pooled E	270	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	180	–	162	–	–

Table 4. Mean values of crop dry matter (DM) yield, weed proportion on total aboveground DM, legume proportion on legume-cereal DM yield and Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) of 16 forage crops across two cropping years in three Mediterranean-climate locations (crop mean value is reported when the ‘crop × location’ interaction was not significant)

See [Table 2](#) for crop acronyms. s.e., standard error of mean (error term: ‘crop × year’ interaction); d.f., degrees of freedom; l.s.d., least significant difference ($P < 0.05$)

Crop	DM yield (t/ha)	Weed proportion			Legume proportion			LER
		Sassari	Sétif	Marchouch	Sassari	Sétif	Marchouch	
O	6.72	0.093	0.060	0.011	–	–	–	–
P2-O	6.67	0.106	0.080	0.014	0.447	0.151	0.454	1.05
V-O	6.06	0.046	0.067	0.025	0.488	0.030	0.207	1.01
P2	5.85	0.106	0.138	0.014	–	–	–	–
T	5.80	0.143	0.059	0.038	–	–	–	–
P2-T	5.79	0.132	0.082	0.020	0.714	0.199	0.458	1.01
P1-O	5.71	0.075	0.091	0.032	0.482	0.068	0.374	0.91
P1-T	5.49	0.227	0.107	0.023	0.543	0.125	0.381	1.03
P1-P2-O-T	5.45	0.084	0.115	0.013	0.535	0.145	0.438	0.92
P1	5.29	0.165	0.205	0.016	–	–	–	–
N-V-O-T	5.09	0.148	0.109	0.004	0.511	0.047	0.327	1.04
V-T	5.07	0.074	0.116	0.009	0.746	0.079	0.443	1.03
N-O	4.97	0.127	0.089	0.005	0.180	0.050	0.250	0.98
N-T	4.22	0.207	0.054	0.038	0.250	0.070	0.432	1.07
V	3.82	0.020	0.336	0.031	–	–	–	–
N	2.58	0.482	0.262	0.045	–	–	–	–
s.e. (d.f.)	0.36 (15)	0.041 (15)	0.065 (15)	0.014 (15)	0.065 (9)	0.018 (9)	0.056 (9)	0.05 (9)
l.s.d.	1.08	0.124	0.196	n.s.	0.209	0.057	0.181	n.s.

Table 5. Analysis of variance contrasts for crop dry matter (DM) yield, weed proportion on total aboveground DM, farmers' visual appraisal score, legume proportion on legume-cereal DM yield and Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) across three Mediterranean-climate locations and two cropping

[†] $P < 0.10$; * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$; n.s., not significant. Error term: 'crop × year' interaction

Contrast	d.f.	DM yield (t/ha)	Weed proportion	Farmers' score (1 = min.; 5 = max.)	Legume proportion	LER
1. Pea vs cereals, pure stands	1	5.67 vs 6.26 n.s.	0.107 vs 0.062 [†]	4.1 vs 3.5***	–	–
2. Common vetch vs cereals, pure stands	1	3.82 vs 6.26***	0.129 vs 0.062*	3.6 vs 3.5 n.s.	–	–
3. Narbon vetch vs cereals, pure stands	1	2.58 vs 6.26***	0.263 vs 0.062***	3.5 vs 3.5 n.s.	–	–
4. (Mean pea + cereals, pure stand) vs corresponding binary mixtures	1	5.91 vs 5.91 n.s.	0.087 vs 0.082 n.s.	3.8 vs 4.0*	–	–
5. (Mean common vetch + cereals, pure stand) vs corresponding binary mixtures	1	5.45 vs 5.56 n.s.	0.088 vs 0.056 [†]	3.5 vs 4.0***	–	–
6. (Mean Narbon vetch + cereals, pure stand) vs corresponding binary mixtures	1	5.03 vs 4.59 n.s.	0.133 vs 0.087**	3.5 vs 3.4 n.s.	–	–
7. Pea-based vs common vetch-based binary mixtures	1	5.91 vs 5.56 n.s.	0.082 vs 0.056 n.s.	4.0 vs 3.9 n.s.	0.366 vs 0.332*	1.00 vs 0.99 n.s.
8. Pea-based vs Narbon vetch-based binary mixtures	1	5.91 vs 4.59***	0.082 vs 0.087 n.s.	4.0 vs 3.4***	0.366 vs 0.205***	1.00 vs 1.02 n.s.
9. Common vetch-based vs Narbon vetch-based binary mixtures	1	5.56 vs 4.59*	0.056 vs 0.087 n.s.	3.9 vs 3.4***	0.332 vs 0.205***	0.99 vs 1.02 n.s.
10. Pea-based vs vetch-based complex mixtures	1	5.45 vs 5.09 n.s.	0.070 vs 0.087 n.s.	3.6 vs 4.0*	0.373 v. 0.295**	0.92 vs 1.04 n.s.
11. Oat-based vs triticale-based binary mixtures	1	5.85 vs 5.14*	0.063 vs 0.091 [†]	4.0 vs 3.7*	0.265 v. 0.370***	0.99 vs 1.03 n.s.
12. Pea-based binary vs complex mixtures	1	5.91 vs 5.45 n.s.	0.082 vs 0.070 n.s.	4.0 vs 3.6**	0.366 v. 0.373 n.s.	1.00 vs 0.92 n.s.
13. Vetch-based binary vs complex mixtures	1	5.08 vs 5.09 n.s.	0.071 vs 0.087 n.s.	3.7 vs 4.0*	0.269 v. 0.295 n.s.	1.02 vs 1.04 n.s.
14. Semi-dwarf vs tall pea type, pure stands	1	5.29 vs 5.85 n.s.	0.129 vs 0.086 n.s.	4.4 vs 3.8*	–	–
15. Semi-dwarf vs tall pea type, mixtures	1	5.60 vs 6.23 [†]	0.092 vs 0.072 n.s.	4.0 vs 3.9 n.s.	0.329 v. 0.404 ***	0.97 vs 1.02 n.s.

Table 6. Mean value across two cropping years of a visual appraisal score attributed by local farmers to 16 forage crops shortly before harvest, for two Mediterranean-climate locations

See [Table 2](#) for crop acronyms. s.e., standard error (error term: ‘crop × year’ interaction); d.f., degrees of freedom; l.s.d., least significant difference ($P < 0.05$)

Crop	Farmers' score (1 = min.; 5 = max.)	
	Sassari	Marchouch
V-O	4.25	4.15
P1	4.15	4.63
V	4.15	3.06
V-T	4.11	3.54
N-V-O-T	4.02	3.91
O	3.85	3.28
P1-T	3.81	4.16
P1-P2-O-T	3.75	3.39
P2-O	3.67	4.44
P1-O	3.60	4.57
P2	3.57	3.96
P2-T	3.52	4.10
N-O	3.36	3.87
T	3.17	3.70
N	3.10	3.92
N-T	2.94	3.44
s.e. (d.f.)	0.17 (15)	0.17 (15)
l.s.d.	0.53	0.53

Fig. 1. Mean legume dry matter (DM) yield of four legume cultivars, and mean cereal DM yield of two cereal cultivars, in pure stand and in legume-cereal binary mixtures across three Mediterranean locations and two cropping years. Yield reported to same area between conditions by doubling its value in mixed stand (○ = tall pea line 2/38b/7; △ = semi-dwarf pea cv. Kaspá; □ = common vetch cv. Barril; ◇ = Narbon vetch cv. Bozdag; ▲ = oat cv. Genziana; ■ = triticale cv. Vivaciò; the line represents the theoretical relationship $y = x$).

